UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,734	04/13/2004	Mikael Lindgren	19378.0085	4621
	7590 12/27/2006 CCUTCHEN LLP		EXAM	INER
3000 K STREET, NW GREGORY, BERNARR E				BERNARR E
BOX IP WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/27/2006	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,734	LINDGREN, MIKAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bernarr E. Gregory	3662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	.1	1	s
Period for Reply		. •	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this commur  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	·	
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the	annlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are allowed.	With thom borisideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-19</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
	ologion roquiloment.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2004 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-19	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	٠.		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			e.
application from the International Bureau		oopii od iii iiilo i talloiidi olag	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.	
	·		
	•	•	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> ·	

Art Unit: 3662

1. Claims 22-23 are allowable over the prior art of record.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.


Page 2

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A).

One of ordinary skill-in-the-art would be one having a graduate level degree in Engineering or Physics with several years of design and/or use of advanced optical devices.

Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A) differs from the limitations of dependent claim 1 only in that the source of the light beam is not a "scene" (line 4 of claim 1) insofar as the term "scene" may be understood in the context of the claim.

Art Unit: 3662



The claim 1 "first optical unit" (line 1 of claim 1) would read on item 1010 in Figure 10 of Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A) except for the feature of the "first optical unit" that it pass "incident electromagnetic radiation that originates from a scene outside" (lines 3-4 of claim 1). The DMD that is identified as item 1012 in Figure 10 of Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A) reads on the claimed "micromirror matrix unit" (line 7 of claim 1) in that a DMD contains a micromirror matrix and in that the DMD in Figure 10 of Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A) is on the beam path between the "first optical unit" and the "first sensor unit (line 2 of claim 1). In Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A), the "first state" and the "second state" would be mirror positions where any beam of interest

Art Unit: 3662

is reflected onto the area of the "performer" (item 1004 in Figure 10 of the applied reference).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill-in-the-art that the generic light source 1010 in Figure 10 of Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A) could be any desired light source, including light from a "scene outside of the optical system" (line 4 of claim 1), such as sunlight from outside, any light source being essentially equivalent for the functional disclosure of Hewlett (U.S. Patent 5,940,204 A).

- 4. Claims 2-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner-cited prior art that has not been applied above is of general interest for showing the general state of the related prior art.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (571) 272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3662

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr E. Gregory

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662